

## REMARKS

Several minor corrections have been made to the written specification to make the language describing the invention clearer. No new matter has been added.

Claim 1 has been amended with the subject matter of original claim 2 which has now been cancelled. Claims 3 and 4 have been amended to depend from Claim 1. Claim 5 has been amended to cure the §112 problem identified in paragraph 4 of the Office Action. Since Claim 2 was objected to if rewritten in independent form, Claims 1, 3, 4, and 5 are all now allowable.

Claim 6 has been rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of Claim 1.

Claim 7 has been rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of Claim 1. Accordingly, Claims 6 and 7 are allowable, because they are found to define allowable subject matter if rewritten in dependent form.

Claim 8 was allowed. Claim 9 has not been amended in that it too was allowed. Claims 10 and 11 are amended to depend respectively from Claims 8 and 10, a change that does not affect their allowability.


Claims 12 and 13 were allowed.

New Claims 14 and 15 are added. Claim 14 is similar to Claim 8, but is not limited to fiberglass liners and the definition of the structure is made differently from that of Claim 8. Nevertheless, Claims 14 and 15, similar to Claims 8 and 10, are allowable over the art of record.

~~In summary, several independent claims are now in this application: 1, 6, 7, 8, 12,~~  
and 14. Allowance of all claims: 1, (3-5)/1, 6,7,8, (9-11)/8, 12, 13/12, 14, and 15/14 is  
respectfully requested with passage of this application to the issue branch.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Oct 16, 2003  
Andrews Kurth LLP  
600 Travis, Suite 4200  
Houston, Texas 77002  
713/220-4726 (office)  
713/238-7340 (facsimile)  
Customer No. 23,444

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary L. Bush  
Reg. No. 27,423